

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/713,780	UCKUN, FATIH M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN PAK	1616	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN PAK.

(2) ANNE MURPHY.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Examiner Pak faxed a communication to Ms. Murphy --see the attached fax.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Pak explained in the fax that "acetonylacetate" should be corrected to --- acetylacetate --- . Two nomenclature examples from FILE REGISTRY (STN ONLINE) were provided. On 4/28/2005, Ms. Murphy authorized the corrections, in addition to the other amendments previously authorized (see the Examiner's Amendment for complete changes that are made).